

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/464,902	12/16/1999	WILLIAM C. OLSON	57906-AJPW/S	8227
75	90 10/21/2003		EXAM	INER
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP			LE, EMILY M	
NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1648	17
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	\mathcal{I}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
ω .	09/464,902	OLSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Emily Le	1648	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	neet with the correspond nce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimu vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Man	<u>ch 29, 2002</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	l.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			s is
4) Claim(s) 78-101 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 78-86, 89-90, and 96	-97 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 87-88, 91-95, and 98-101 are subject	t to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	٦.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17	2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application	has been received.	•
Attachment(s)	-		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:	<u>-</u> ·

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1-77 are cancelled. Claims 78-101 are pending. Claims 87-88, 91-95, and 98-101 are under examination. Claims 78-86, 89-90, and 96-97 are withdrawn from examination in view of Applicant's election of Group VI.

Supplemental Election/Restrictions

- 1. This supplemental restriction is in response to Applicant's amendment, filed July 14, 2003, Paper No. 12. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 87-88, 91-95, and 98-101, drawn to nucleic acid molecule that encodes one or more CDR regions of anti-CCR5 monoclonal antibody designated as PA 14, PA 8, PA 9, PA 10, PA 11, AND PA 12 classified in class 536, subclass 23.53.
 - II. Claims 87-88, 91-95, and 98-101, drawn to nucleic acid molecule that encodes one or more CDR regions of anti-CCR5 monoclonal antibody designated as PA 14, classified in class 536, subclass 23.53.
 - III. Claims 87-88, 91-95, and 98-101, drawn to nucleic acid molecule that encodes one or more CDR regions of anti-CCR5 monoclonal antibody designated as PA 8, classified in class 536, subclass 23.53.

Art Unit: 1648

- IV. Claims 87-88, 91-95, and 98-101, drawn to nucleic acid molecule that encodes one or more CDR regions of anti-CCR5 monoclonal antibody designated as PA 9, classified in class 536, subclass 23.53.
- V. Claims 87-88, 91-95, and 98-101, drawn to nucleic acid molecule that encodes one or more CDR regions of anti-CCR5 monoclonal antibody designated as PA 10, classified in class 536, subclass 23.53.
- VI. Claims 87-88, 91-95, and 98-101, drawn to nucleic acid molecule that encodes one or more CDR regions of anti-CCR5 monoclonal antibody designated as PA 11, classified in class 536, subclass 23.53.
- VII. Claims 87-88, 91-95, and 98-101, drawn to nucleic acid molecule that encodes one or more CDR regions of anti-CCR5 monoclonal antibody designated as PA 12, classified in class 536, subclass 23.53.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II-VII are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because not all subcombination, nucleic acid molecules that are drawn to different antibodies are necessary to produce the combination, a nucleic acid molecule that encodes the CDR

Art Unit: 1648

D

region of an anti-CCR5 monoclonal antibody PA 14, PA 8, PA 9, PA 10, PA 11, AND PA 12. The subcombination has separate utility such as to detect the antibodies.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each listed Groups will not overlap, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Further, Applicant's election with traverse of Group VI in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction is improper because Groups V-VII are not independent from one another and that a restriction can only proper if the separated inventions are independent AND distinct from one another while quoting from MPEP § 802 and 35 U.S.C § 121. This is not found persuasive.

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant is taking the teachings of the MPEP out of context. MPEP § 806, states that a restriction is proper if the inventions are able to support separate patents and they are either independent (MPEP § 806.04 - § 806.04(i)) or distinct (MPEP § 806.05 - §806.05(i)). In the instant case, each Groups can support a separate patent and that are distinct from one another for each groups are directed Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL unless Applicant admits that the Groups are obvious variants of each other.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-4452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (703) 308-4027. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1648

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0169.

E.Le

JAMES HOUSEL 1620/0 RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600